

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/752,090 01/07/2004 Kenichi Sato 029383.53083US 2333 **EXAMINER** 23911 7590 02/07/2005 **CROWELL & MORING LLP** ALI, HYDER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300 3747

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	01
		10/752,0	090	SATO ET AL.	(1)
	Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit	
		HYDER	ALI	3747	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on th	ne cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ss
THE - External after after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN insions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 D period for reply is specified above, the maximum st ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. do) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply and the statute.	event, however, may a repleatutory minimum of thirty (3 will expire SIX (6) MONTH optication to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this comm IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status		-			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on			
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2-5 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from c			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.			
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 03 June 2004	<u>4</u> is/are: a)⊠ accep	ted or b) Objecte	ed to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to			' = '	• •
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents have be documents have be of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in App nents have been re ale 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Sta	age
Attachmen	••		_		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	OTO 040)		nmary (PTO-413)	
3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/7/04</u> .			Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)

DETAILED ACTION

Inventorship

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Objections

Claims 4 and 5 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim 4 should refer to other claims in the alternative only and/or cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4 and 5 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fumio (JP 61-10644).

Fumio discloses a sintered alloy valve seat, monolithically formed by a sintering process, having a double layer structure consisting of a surface layer portion and a base layer portion. The surface layer portion includes a working face repeatedly knocked by a valve face, and the base layer portion is in contact with the bottom of a press-fitting hole of a cylinder head. The surface layer portion has a porosity of 5-20% and the base layer portion has porosity of 5% or less. The sintered alloy valve seat is fit for a cast-iron cylinder head. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the density and porosity of this reference in order to obtain optimal results. Moreover the claimed numerical ranges produce no new or unexpected result.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6 and 7 are allowed.

Claims 2 and 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references by Kazuoka et al, Takagi et al, Maulik, Kuroishi et al, and Narasimhan et al all disclose valve seat for internal combustion engine.

Art Unit: 3747

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HYDER ALI whose telephone number is (571) 272-4836. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, HENRY YUEN can be reached on (571) 272-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ha

Herry C. Yuen
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700